

# Varicocele and male infertility: an evidence based review

Fábio F. Pasqualotto<sup>1</sup>, Ashok Agarwal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Biotechnology, University of Caxias do Sul, RS, Brazil, Center for Advanced Research in Human Reproduction, Infertility and Sexual Function, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Brazil, CONCEPTION – Center for Human Reproduction, Caxias do Sul, RS, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Center for Reproductive Medicine, Glickman Urological and Kidney Institute, and OB-GYN and Women's Health Institute, Cleveland Clinic, USA

**Submitted:** 12 October 2008

**Accepted:** 7 November 2008

Arch Med Sci 2009; 5, 1A: S20–S27

Copyright © 2009 Termedia & Banach

**Corresponding author:**

Prof. Ashok Agarwal  
Glickman Urological  
and Kidney Institute  
and OB-GYN  
and Women's Health Institute  
Cleveland Clinic  
9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland  
Ohio 44195, USA  
Phone: 216-444-9485  
Fax: 216-445-6049  
E-mail: Agarwaa@ccf.org

## Abstract

Varicoceles are present in 15% of the normal male population and in approximately 40% of men presenting with infertility. The preponderance of experimental data from clinical and animal models demonstrates a deleterious effect of varicoceles on spermatogenesis. Testicular temperature elevation and oxidative stress appear to play an important role in varicocele-induced testicular dysfunction, although the exact pathophysiology is not yet completely understood. The American Urological Association and American Society of Reproductive Medicine jointly convened Best Policy Practice Groups for Male Infertility and recently stated, "Varicocele repairs may be considered the primary treatment option when a man with a varicocele has suboptimal semen quality and a normal female partner." They considered percutaneous embolization and surgery for varicocele treatment and noted that most experts performed inguinal or subinguinal microsurgical repairs to maximize preservation of arterial and lymphatic vessels while reducing the chances of persistence or recurrence. Although these comments represent the considered opinion of 12 experts and 125 consultants in the field of male infertility, anyone familiar with varicoceles knows that discussion of the pathophysiology and management continues to be hotly debated. This review offers recommendations regarding the best infertility treatment for the man with a varicocele: assisted reproduction or varicocelectomy.

**Key words:** semen, varicocele, reproduction, fertilization, infertility.

## Incidence

Varicocele remains an enigma in the treatment of male infertility [1-5]. Despite more than 30 years of evidence that varicocele repair results in improved fertility, the retrospective nature of most of these reports has led to controversy regarding the utility of treatment [6-8]. Evaluation of a patient with a varicocele should include a careful medical and reproductive history, a physical examination and at least two semen analyses [9, 10]. The physical examination should be performed with the patient in both the recumbent and upright positions. Imaging studies are not indicated for the standard evaluation unless the physical exam is inconclusive [9]. Therefore, when a suspected varicocele is not clearly palpable, the scrotum should be examined while the patient performs a Valsalva maneuver in a standing position.

The incidence of varicocele varies according to age. Incidence ranges from 7.2% (in boys/men 2 to 19 years old), with the following distribution: 2 to 6 years, 0.79%; 7 to 10 years, 0.96%; 11 to 14 years, 7.8% and 15 to 19 years, 14.1% [GR-A] [11]. After the 20s, incidence varies from 10 to 25% [GR-C] [12]. In the elderly, (median of 60 years) the varicocele is present in up to 42.9% of the population [13]. No prospective, randomized, controlled studies that demonstrate a relationship between varicocele and hormonal abnormalities have been published.

The incidence of varicocele is about 20% in the general population; in infertile populations the incidence is roughly 40% [GR-B] [14]. An inverse correlation between body mass index (BMI) and the incidence of varicocele [GR-B] appears to exist [15].

The reason for this discrepancy in distribution remains unknown, although it may be related to the association among infertility, intratesticular temperature and time [9, 10, 16]. The blood supply to the testes, as well as the resulting counter-current heat exchange, results in intratesticular temperatures that are cooler than body temperatures [7, 8]. Disruption of this system can result in hyperthermia of the testes. As the left side drains into a system with higher resistance, small venules may persist or open during embryogenesis. Testicular blood flow remains low before puberty, and therefore these veins do not become clinically apparent until adolescence when testicular blood flow increases. This explains the appearance of most varicoceles around puberty.

Men with varicocele who engage in intense physical activity regularly four to five times a week for 2 to 4 h/day over a period of four years have a decrease in semen parameters [GR-A] [17].

Varicocele occurs more frequently among first-degree relatives than in the general population [GR-B] [18].

### **Pathophysiology and typical testicular histological abnormalities in men with varicocele**

The possible causes of varicocele are absence or congenital incompetence of the left spermatic vein valves or difficulties in the venous return due to obstruction or compression of the vein system [GR-B] [19]. Several theories have been suggested to explain the impact of varicocele on testicular function, although none of them alone is able to elucidate the variable effects of varicocele in spermatogenesis and male infertility [GR-B] [19]. These theories include hyperthermia [19] [GR-B], hypoxia [19, 20] [GR-B], decrease in intratesticular and epididymal blood flow, intratesticular hormonal abnormalities [19] [GR-B], oxidative stress [20] [GR-B] and renal and adrenal metabolite reflux [19] [GR-B].

Pathognomic testicular histological abnormality does not occur in patients with varicocele [GR-B] [21]. It may cause Leydig cell hyperplasia, maturation arrest, decrease in the number of Sertoli cells per seminiferous tubule and germinal epithelium displacement [GR-B] [21, 22]. Tissue abnormalities are similar to the ones found in patients with spermatogenesis abnormalities without the presence of varicocele [GR-B] [21, 22].

### **Varicocele as a cause of infertility**

Varicocele remains the most common cause of male infertility, although the literature shows conflicting data in this regard. Additionally, many of the studies present only weak evidence for the association between varicocele and infertility, and some of them lack adequate statistical power.

The World Health Organization (WHO), in an observational study involving 9,034 men, verified that 25.6% of patients with abnormal semen analysis have varicocele, and these men exhibit a significant decrease in the ipsilateral testicle volume compared with the contralateral testicle. This decrease in testicular volume does not occur in men with infertility without varicocele [GR-B] [23].

### **Varicocele diagnoses**

A gold standard for the diagnosis of varicocele [GR-D] does not exist [24]. The physical examination, with the patient standing in a 25°C room temperature, has been the method most commonly used [GR-C] [25], but the sensitivity and specificity of this method are only 70% [GR-A] [26].

Varicoceles diagnosed by physical examination are considered “clinical”, and they are classified according to their size. The larger (grade III) varicoceles are the ones visually detected; moderate (grade II) varicoceles are detected through palpation without the Valsalva maneuver; the smallest (grade I) varicoceles are detected through physical examination with the Valsalva maneuver [GR-C] [25, 27]. Radiological tests are not able to differentiate clinical from subclinical varicoceles [GR-A] [26, 28].

Spermatic vein venography is the most widely recognized method for the diagnosis of pampiniform plexus vein reflux (clinical vs. subclinical varicocele) [GR-B] [29, 30]. In comparison to venography, the color Doppler ultrasound has more than 90% sensitivity and specificity [GR-A] [26], while scrotal thermography and cintigraphy have variable results [GR-A] [26, 28, 29].

### **Clinical treatment for varicocele**

Few well-designed studies focusing on medical, nonsurgical treatment for varicocele have been published.

The use of carnitine combined with non-hormonal anti-inflammatory drugs for 6 months in patients with clinical varicocele and infertility did not resolve the problem [GR-A] [31, 32].

In patients with subclinical varicocele, clomiphene citrate did not improve sperm concentration and motility to the level that surgery does, although it did promote a significant improvement in semen quality as well as in pregnancy rates [GR-A] [33]. The literature lacks sufficient information to validate the use of clomiphene citrate in patients with clinical varicocele.

In a study involving 65 patients, the use of kallikrein for 3 months in 38 men showed a statistical improvement in sperm motility as well as morphology compared with the control group [GR-B] [34].

The association of menotropin for 3 months before the varicocelectomy is related to a better outcome compared to the surgery alone. In this study, the sooner introducing the clinical treatment, the better [GR-A] [35].

Data supporting the use of vitamins and antioxidants in the treatment of varicocele are insufficient.

### Subclinical varicocele – a different entity

Subclinical varicocele is identified only with the help of complementary tests beyond physical examination [36]. It may be associated with male infertility [GR-B] [19]. Scrotal Doppler ultrasound may be indicated to evaluate infertile men when the physical examination is inconclusive or for the detection of venous reflux [GR-D] [37, 38].

The ideal treatment for men with subclinical varicocele [GR-D] is undefined in the medical literature [39, 40]. Therefore, varicocele treatment for infertility is not indicated for patients with either normal semen quality or subclinical varicocele.

### Surgery versus embolization

The treating physician's experience and expertise, together with the options available, should determine the choice of treatment for varicocele. Only two methods for the treatment of varicocele are well-described in the literature, surgical vein ligation and percutaneous embolization [GR-D] [37, 41-43]. Surgery may be performed through a retroperitoneal, inguinal, subinguinal or laparoscopic approach [GR-A] [43]. Marmar et al. [41] introduced the subinguinal microsurgical varicocelectomy with ligation and sclerosis, and Goldstein et al. [42] modified the microsurgical technique with delivery of the testis in search of scrotal collaterals, including the gubernacular veins.

Percutaneous embolization is performed by occluding the internal spermatic vein [GR-A] [44].

None of these methods have been proven to be superior to the others in fertility improvement; however, differences in the complication and recurrence rates have been described [GR-A] [45].

Subinguinal varicocelectomy with optical magnification increases the probability of arterial and lymphatic vessel preservation. This significantly decreases the risks of recurrence and postoperative complications in relation to laparoscopy and surgeries without magnification [GR-A] [45] [GR-C] [46] [GR-D] [42, 47].

Percutaneous embolization is associated with recurrence rates that are higher than those associated with conventional surgical approaches. Complications related to the percutaneous embolization method itself also should be taken into account to create a true picture of the outcomes and risks related to this technique [GR-A] [48].

Patients with bilateral clinical varicocele should be submitted to a bilateral varicocelectomy [GR-A] [49].

### Azoospermia and varicocele

Varicocele repair must be considered for all men with azoospermia who have a palpable varicocele. Azoospermic patients with germ cell aplasia in a single large testis biopsy may have an improvement in semen quality following varicocelectomy. Due to the possibility of a relapse into azoospermia after an initial improvement in semen quality following varicocelectomy, patients should be informed of the possibility of sperm cryopreservation. In azoospermic patients, the surgical treatment of varicocele may promote spermatogenesis, avoiding the need to obtain sperm from the testicle for assisted reproduction [GR-A] [50-54].

### Improvement in semen parameters after varicocelectomy

Varicocele repair, intrauterine insemination (IUI) and *in vitro* fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (IVF/ICSI) are options for the management of couples with male factor infertility associated with a varicocele [55-60]. The decision as to which method to use is influenced by many factors. Most importantly, varicocele repair has the potential to reverse a pathological condition and effect a permanent cure for infertility, as opposed to IUI or the assisted reproduction technologies (ART) required for each attempt at pregnancy [58]. Other factors to be considered are age of the female partner, the potential long-term health effects of IVF and ICSI on the offspring resulting from these techniques, and the possibly greater cost-effectiveness of varicocele treatment compared with IVF with or without ICSI [59, 60]. Finally, failure to treat a varicocele may result in a progressive decline in semen parameters, further reducing a man's chances for future fertility.

Several studies evaluating semen quality following varicocelectomy have been published. However, they lack standardization in selection methods, diagnostic techniques, forms of treatment and variables evaluated.

One randomized study demonstrated semen quality improves in 50% of cases following varicocelectomy [GR-A] [61].

A meta-analysis of clinical randomized studies demonstrated that surgery or embolization for varicocele in infertile men does not increase the chance of natural pregnancy [GR-A] [43]; however, several criticisms have been raised regarding the selection of the studies included in this article [GR-A] [62]. Another recent meta-analysis demonstrated that the chances of natural pregnancy increased 2.8 times after varicocelectomy compared with patients who receive no treatment or medical treatment [GR-A] [63].

Testicular size, varicocele grade, seminal parameters and hormonal levels may be considered prognostic parameters for men with varicocele [GR-D] [64]. However, it is not possible to conclude which parameters are predictive of treatment outcomes [GR-B] [14] [GR-A] [65, 66]. Future repair is usually not indicated as the primary treatment for couples when IVF is necessary for treatment of female factor infertility [56]. Nevertheless, certain circumstances occur in which treatment of a varicocele should be undertaken before initiating ART even when female factor infertility is present. Specifically, varicocele repair has been shown to restore at least low numbers of sperm to the ejaculate of some men with nonobstructive azoospermia [50-54]. In these cases, varicocele repair may restore sperm to the ejaculate, making IVF/ICSI possible without testicular sperm aspiration or extraction. Therefore, testicular biopsy and varicocele repair may be offered to these men.

A large body of literature suggests improved semen parameters and fertility following varicocelectomy. However, some investigators have challenged the benefit of these procedures because the favorable results are reported in case-controlled studies rather than prospective, randomized trials [67-71]. Statistical evaluation of these data is the subject of an ongoing debate, and the fertility outcomes of varicocele repair have been described in numerous published studies [72-75]. Most of these studies lack adequate numbers of patients, randomization and/or controls, and it is not possible, therefore, to reach a clear conclusion on fertility outcomes [43].

Recently, Evers and Collins reported a meta-analysis including seven prospective, randomized trials that evaluated varicocelectomy and pregnancy outcomes [43]. They claimed that evidence was insufficient to conclude that treatment of clinical

varicocele improved the likelihood of conception for couples with male infertility. They stated that the routine treatment of the male partner of subfertile couples was unadvisable. This conclusion is regrettable because the data in the meta-analysis were questionable. Specifically, several patients in the study groups had normal semen analysis. Of the seven studies, four included men with subclinical varicoceles. Two of the studies had questionable outcomes data for controls. One of these reported a cumulative pregnancy rate for controls of 47%, and the other reported a 24.5% pregnancy rate among controls with counseling [76, 77]. The pregnancy rates for controls among the remaining studies in the meta-analysis ranged between 4.5 and 10%. Finally, varicocele treatment did not include microsurgical procedures as suggested by the Best Practice Study Groups, and follow-up information regarding recurrence with either high ligation or embolization was limited [9].

In fact, the majority of the published controlled studies have failed to use randomization, men with palpable varicoceles, men with abnormal semen analyses and/or men with normal female partners [78, 79]. Most of these trials, however, show improvement in fertility after varicocele treatment, with only a few indicating that varicocele treatment has little or no effect on fertility. A review of 12 controlled studies found a pregnancy rate over one year of 33% in couples in which the male had received varicocele treatment, as compared with 16% in untreated couples [80].

A Cochrane review identified five randomized controlled trials that examined outcomes in couples with male factor infertility and varicoceles and concluded that they did not show sufficient evidence regarding the treatment of varicoceles to warrant their repair [43, 58, 59, 61, 81, 82]. However, these studies were chosen for this review only because of their status as randomized clinical trials; no evaluation of the methods was performed. A review of these trials shows that one examined only subclinical varicoceles, and three others exhibited methodological problems, including the use of embolization, high pregnancy rates in untreated couples (25% in a one-year period), and inherent selection bias in the study (many couples opted to pursue ART rather than enter the study).

Only two well-designed, randomized, controlled studies using men with palpable varicoceles, abnormal semen parameters and normal wives have been published [76, 77]. While one of the studies showed no greater likelihood of pregnancy following varicocele repair, it did demonstrate a significant improvement in testis volume and semen quality as compared with those of controls [77]. The one study that did show sizeable benefit was a randomized crossover design in which more

than 60% of couples who underwent repair achieved pregnancy, compared with 10% of untreated couples [77]. When the untreated couples were then crossed over and treated, another 50% became pregnant in the following year. However, men with severe oligospermia were excluded from this trial. Furthermore, preliminary data from an ongoing, prospective, randomized, controlled trial have shown a fourfold increase in the spontaneous pregnancy rate in men with treated varicoceles compared with the control group.

Although few randomized controlled trials show the benefit of treating varicocele-related infertility, many nonrandomized studies support this concept [78-80]. Based on a review of numerous studies, most of them retrospective, several conclusions were drawn. Most participants showed improvement in postoperative sperm density and motility. Natural pregnancy rates varied, but the overall average was 37%, a clearly higher figure than any reported for non-treatment. Although many of these studies suffer from the flaws of non-randomized trials, these results would be difficult to explain on the basis of chance alone.

### Varicocele in the adolescent

The main challenge in the management of varicocele in adolescents is establishing criteria for treatment – in other words, identifying which patients will benefit from surgery.

Adolescent males who have unilateral or bilateral varicoceles and objective evidence of reduced testicular size ipsilateral to the varicocele should be considered candidates for varicocele repair [4, 9, 83]. If objective evidence of reduced testis size is not present, adolescents with varicoceles should be followed up with annual objective measurements of testis size and/or semen analysis to detect the earliest sign of varicocele-related testicular injury [9, 10]. Varicocele repair should be offered at the first detection of testicular or semen abnormality.

In the adolescent population, the hypotrophy rate caused by varicocele is 9%. It always should be related to the child's/adolescent's development according to the Tanner Kass classification (GR-D) [84].

In adults, varicocele grade is related to testicular volume. A grade I varicocele has a minimal impact on testicular volume; grade II is related to unilateral atrophy; and grade III is related to bilateral abnormalities [GR-D] [84]. Despite these associations, varicocele grade is not related to the presence or gravity of testicular disproportion in adolescents [GR-C] [85].

The criteria for definition of testicular hypotrophy are arbitrary because they were not compared with fertility in a long-term follow-up. The definitions of hypotrophy include:

1) difference in testicular size of 10 to 25% [GR-C] [86, 87],

2) an absolute difference between the testicles of 2 to 3 ml [GR-D] [88].

Scrotal pain appears to be uncommon in adolescents with varicocele, with an incidence of 2 to 4% [GR-D] [89]. No studies have been published that evaluate the indications for varicocelectomy in these cases.

The same techniques for varicocele repair in adults are routinely used in adolescents [GR-C] [90-94].

The improvement in sperm motility following varicocelectomy is higher in adolescents compared with adults [GR-C] [95]. An increase in size of the affected testis occurs in 50 to 90% of adolescent cases [47] [GR-C].

In the presence of bilateral normal testicular development and absence of symptoms, evidence is lacking to support the benefits of surgical varicocele repair. These adolescents must be followed annually with physical exam, ultrasound and semen analysis [GR-D] [89]. In cases of testicular hypotrophy and/or abnormalities in the semen, surgical repair of the varicocele must be considered.

### Benefits of surgery in assisted reproduction technologies

Studies indicate that IVF/ICSI seem to be no more effective than varicocelectomy in managing male factor infertility associated with a varicocele, but ART is more expensive than the surgical procedure [58-60]. In their meta-analysis, Penson et al. reported that the probability of a live birth after varicocelectomy was 29.7% (with 1% having twins), compared with 25.4% after IVF/ICSI (with a multiple gestation rate of 39%) [60]. In a separate study, Schlegel reported that the cost per baby delivered with IVF/ICSI was \$89,091, compared with \$26,268 after varicocelectomy [59]. Thus, varicocele surgery seems desirable for selected varicocele cases.

The surgical treatment of varicocele has the capacity of improving semen parameters, leading to an increase in the total motile sperm count and improved sperm morphology, decreasing oxidative stress and resulting in an improvement in the function of the male gamete [GR-B] [20].

Surgical varicocele repair may help a couple avoid the need for ART or reduce the treatment complexity grade when ART is indicated [GR-D] [56, 58].

In azoospermic patients, the surgical treatment of varicocele may promote spermatogenesis, avoiding the need to obtain sperm from the testicle for assisted reproduction [GR-C] [50-54].

Patients should be evaluated after varicocele treatment for persistence or recurrence of the varicocele. If the varicocele persists or recurs, internal spermatic venography may be performed

to identify the site of persistent venous reflux. Either surgical ligation or percutaneous embolization of the refluxing veins may be used. Semen analysis should be performed after varicocele treatment at about 3-month intervals for at least one year or until pregnancy is achieved. IUI or ART should be considered for couples in whom infertility persists after anatomically successful varicocele repair [9, 10].

## Conclusions

Despite the absence of definitive studies on fertility outcomes after varicocele repair, varicocele treatment should be considered as an option for appropriate infertile couples. Varicocele repair has been proven to improve semen parameters in most men; varicocele treatment may possibly improve fertility, and the risks of varicocele treatment are small.

Varicoceles continue to stimulate controversy among reproductive experts. Despite conflicting evidence from both randomized and nonrandomized trials, clinical experience still favors the surgical treatment of clinical varicoceles in men with infertility. However, fertility specialists must design and recruit participants (or patients) in randomized, properly controlled trials to reach a definitive conclusion.

## References

- Nöske HD, Weidner W. Varicocele – a historical perspective. *World J Urol* 1999; 17: 151-7.
- Galarneau GJ, Nagler HM. Cost-effective infertility therapies in the '90s: To treat or to cure? *Contemp Urol* 1999; 11: 32-45.
- The influence of varicocele on parameters of fertility in a large group of men presenting to infertility clinics. *World Health Organization. Fertil Steril* 1992; 57: 1289-93.
- Steen O, Knops J, Declerck L, Adimoelja A, van de Voorde H. Prevention of fertility disorders by detection and treatment of varicocele at school and college age. *Andrologia* 1976; 8: 47-53.
- Kursh ED. What is the incidence of varicocele in a fertile population? *Fertil Steril* 1987; 48: 510-1.
- Pryor JL, Howards SS. Varicocele. *Urol Clin North Am* 1987; 14: 499-513.
- Nagler HM, Luntz RK, Martinis F. Varicocele. In: Lipshultz LI, Howards SS (eds). *Infertility in the Male*. 3rd ed. St Louis, Missouri: Mosby-Year Book, Inc 1997.
- Zini A, Girardi SK, Goldstein M. Varicocele. In: Hellstrom WJ (ed.). *Male Infertility and Sexual Dysfunction*. New York, Springer-Verlag, 1997; 201-18.
- Jarow J, Sharlip ID, Belker AM, et al.; Male Infertility Best Practice Policy Committee of the American Urological Association Inc. Best practice policies for male infertility. *J Urol* 2002; 167: 2133-44.
- Weidner W, Colpi GM, Hargreave TB, Papp GK, Pomerol JM; EAU Working Group on Male Infertility. EAU guidelines on male infertility. *Eur Urol* 2002; 42: 313-22.
- Akbay E, Cayan S, Doruk E, Duce MN, Bozlu M. The prevalence of varicocele and varicocele-related testicular atrophy in Turkish children and adolescents. *BJU Int* 2000; 86: 490-3.
- Callam MJ. Epidemiology of varicose veins. *Br J Surg* 1994; 81: 167-73.
- Canales BK, Zapzalka DM, Ercole CJ, et al. Prevalence and effect of varicoceles in an elderly population. *Urology* 2005; 66: 627-31.
- Magdar I, Weisseberg R, Lunenfeld B, Karasik A, Goldwasser B. Controlled trial of high spermatic vein ligation for varicocele in infertile men. *Fertil Steril* 1995; 63: 120-4.
- Handel LN, Shetty R, Sigman M. The relationship between varicoceles and obesity. *J Urol* 2006; 176: 2138-40.
- Pasqualotto FF, Lucon AM, Góes PM, Hallak J, Pasqualotto EB, Arap S. The effect of varicocelectomy on serum hormonal levels in infertile men with clinical varicoceles. *Fertil Steril* 2003; 80: S29.
- de Luigi L, Gentile V, Pigozzi F, Parisi A, Giannetti D, Romanelli F. Physical activity as a possible aggravating factor for athletes with varicocele: impact on the semen profile. *Hum Reprod* 2001; 16: 1180-4.
- Raman JD, Walmsley K, Goldstein M. Inheritance of varicoceles. *Urology* 2005; 65: 1186-9.
- Nistal M, Gonzalez-Peramato P, Serrano A, Regadera J. Physiopathology of the infertile testicle. Etiopathogenesis of varicocele [Spanish]. *Arch. Esp Urol* 2004; 57: 883-904.
- Agarwal A, Prabakaran S, Allamaneni SS. Relationship between oxidative stress, varicocele and infertility: a meta-analysis. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2006; 12: 630-3.
- Wang YX, Lei C, Dong SG, Chandley AC, MacIntyre M, Hargreave TB. Study of bilateral histology and meiotic analysis in men undergoing varicocele ligation. *Fertil Steril* 1991; 55: 152-5.
- Paduch DA, Skoog SJ. Current management of adolescent varicocele. *Rev Urol* 2001; 3: 120-33.
- The influence of varicocele on parameters of fertility in a large group of men presenting to infertility clinics. *World Health Organization. Fertil Steril* 1992; 57: 1289-93.
- Liguori G, Trombetta C, Garaffa G, et al. Color Doppler ultrasound investigation of varicocele. *World J Urol* 2004; 22: 378-81.
- Dubin L, Amelar RD. Varicocele size and results of varicocelectomy in selected subfertile men with varicocele. *Fertil Steril* 1970; 21: 606-9.
- Trum JW, Gubler FM, Laan R, van der Veen F. The value of palpation, varicoscreen contact thermography and colour Doppler ultrasound in the diagnosis of varicocele. *Hum Reprod* 1996; 11: 1232-5.
- Nagler HM, Luntz RK, Martinis FG. Varicocele. In: Lipshultz LI, Howards SS (eds). *Infertility in the Male*. 3rd ed. Mosby-Year Book 1977; 343.
- Geatti O, Gasparini D, Shapiro B. A comparison of scintigraphy, thermography, ultrasound and phlebography in grading of clinical varicocele. *J Nucl Med* 1991; 32: 2092-7.
- Gat Y, Bachar GN, Zukerman Z, Belenky A, Gorenish M. Physical examination may miss the diagnosis of bilateral varicocele: a comparative study of 4 diagnostic modalities. *J Urol* 2004; 172: 1414-7.
- Kattan S. Incidence and pattern of varicocele recurrence after laparoscopic ligation of the internal spermatic vein with preservation of the testicular artery. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 1998; 32: 335-40.
- Cavallini G, Ferraretti AP, Gianaroli L, Biagiotti G, Vitali G. Cinnocicam and L-carnitine/acetyl-L-carnitine treatment for idiopathic and varicocele-associated oligoasthenospermia. *J Androl* 2004; 25: 761-70.
- Cavallini G, Biagiotti G, Ferraretti AP, Gianaroli L, Vitali G. Medical therapy of oligoasthenospermia associated with left varicocele. *BJU Int* 2003; 91: 513-8.

33. Unal D, Yeni E, Verit A, Karatas OF. Clomiphene citrate versus varicocelectomy in treatment of subclinical varicocele: a prospective randomized study. *Int J Urol* 2001; 8: 227-30.
34. Mičić S, Tulić C, Dotlić R. Kallikrein therapy of infertile men with varicocele and impaired sperm motility. *Andrologia* 1990; 22: 179-83.
35. De Rose AF, Gallo F, Giglio M, Parisini B, Carmignani G. Early use of menotropin in the treatment of varicocele [Italian]. *Arch Ital Urol Androl* 2003; 75: 53-7.
36. Gat Y, Gornish M, Navon U, Chakraborty J, Bachar GN, Ben-Shlomo I. Right varicocele and hypoxia, crucial factors in male infertility: fluid mechanics analysis of the impaired testicular drainage system. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2006; 13: 510-5.
37. Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Report on varicocele and infertility. *Fertil Steril* 2006; 86 (5 Suppl): S93-5.
38. Donkol RH, Salem T. Paternity after varicocelectomy: preoperative parameters of success. *J Ultrasound Med* 2007; 26: 953-9.
39. Gat Y, Zukerman ZV, Bachar GN, Feldberg DO, Gornish M. Adolescent varicocele: is it a unilateral disease? *Urology* 2003; 62: 742-6.
40. Pasqualotto FF, Lucon AM, de Goes PM, Sobreiro BP, Pasqualotto EB, Arap S. Is it worthwhile to operate on subclinical right varicocele in patients with grade II-III varicocele in the left testicle? *J Assist Reprod Genet* 2005; 22: 227-31.
41. Marmar JL, Kim Y. Subinguinal microsurgical varicocelectomy: a technical critique and statistical analysis of semen and pregnancy data. *J Urol* 1994; 152: 1127-32.
42. Goldstein M, Gilbert BR, Dicker AP, Dwosh J, Gnecco C. Microsurgical inguinal varicocelectomy with delivery of the testis: an artery and lymphatic sparing technique. *J Urol* 1992; 148: 1808-11.
43. Evers JL, Collins JA. Surgery or embolisation in subfertile men: The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2007.
44. Gat Y, Bachar GN, Everaert K, Levinger U, Gornish M. Induction of spermatogenesis in azoospermic men after internal spermatic vein embolization for the treatment of varicocele. *Hum Reprod* 2005; 20: 1013-7.
45. Al-Kandari AM, Shabaa H, Ibrahim HM, Elshebiny YN, Shokeir AA. Comparison of outcomes of different varicocelectomy techniques: open inguinal, laparoscopic, and subinguinal microscopic varicocelectomy: a randomized clinical trial. *Urology* 2007; 69: 417-20.
46. Yavetz H, Levy R, Papo J, et al. Efficacy of varicocele embolization versus ligation of the left internal spermatic vein for improvement of sperm quality. *Int J Androl* 1992; 15: 338-44.
47. Nieschlag E, Behre HM, Schlingheider A, Nashan D, Pohl J, Fishedick AR. Surgical ligation vs. angiographic embolization of the vena spermatica: a prospective randomized study for the treatment of varicocele-related infertility. *Andrologia* 1993; 25: 233-7.
48. Shlansky-Goldberg RD, VanArsdalen KN, Rutter CM, et al. Percutaneous varicocele embolization versus surgical ligation for the treatment of infertility: changes in seminal parameters and pregnancy outcomes. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 1997; 8: 759-67.
49. Libman J, Jarvi K, Lo K, Zini A. Beneficial effect of microsurgical varicocelectomy is superior for men with bilateral versus unilateral repair. *J Urol* 2006; 176: 2602-5.
50. Matthews GJ, Matthews ED, Goldstein M. Induction of spermatogenesis and achievement of pregnancy after microsurgical varicocelectomy in men with azoospermia and severe oligoasthenospermia. *Fertil Steril* 1998; 70: 71-5.
51. Kim ED, Leibman BB, Grinblat DM, Lipschultz LI. Varicocele repair improves semen parameters in azoospermic men with spermatogenic failure. *J Urol* 1999; 162: 737-40.
52. Pasqualotto FF, Lucon AM, Hallak J, Goes PM, Saldanha LB, Arap S. Induction of spermatogenesis in azoospermic men undergoing varicocele repair. *Hum Reprod* 2003; 18: 108-12.
53. Schlegel PN, Kaufmann J. Role of varicocelectomy in men with nonobstructive azoospermia. *Fertil Steril* 2004; 81: 1585-8.
54. Pasqualotto FF, Sobreiro BP, Hallak J, Pasqualotto EB, Lucon AM. Induction of spermatogenesis in azoospermic men after varicocelectomy repair: an update. *Fert Steril* 2006; 85: 635-9.
55. Fisher LM, Sandlow JL. The role of varicocele treatment in the era of assisted reproductive technology. *Braz J Urol* 2001; 27: 19-25.
56. Daitch JA, Bedaiwy MA, Pasqualotto EB, et al. Varicocelectomy improves intrauterine insemination success rates among men with varicocele. *J Urol* 2001; 165: 1510-3.
57. Palermo G, Joris H, Devroey P, Van Steirteghem AC. Pregnancies after intracytoplasmic injection of single spermatozoon into an oocyte. *Lancet* 1992; 340: 17-8.
58. Cayan S, Erdemir F, Ozbey I, Turek P, Kadioglu A, Tellaloglu S. Can varicocelectomy significantly change the way couples use assisted reproductive technologies? *J Urol* 2002; 167: 1749-52.
59. Schlegel PN. Is assisted reproduction the optimal treatment for varicocele-associated male infertility? A cost-effectiveness analysis. *Urology* 1997; 49: 83-90.
60. Penson DF, Paltiel DA, Krumholz HM, Palter S. The cost effectiveness of treatment for varicocele related infertility. *J Urol* 2002; 168: 2490-4.
61. Cayan S, Kadioglu TC, Tefekli A, Kadioglu A, Tellaloglu S. Comparison of results and complications of high ligation surgery and microsurgical high inguinal varicocelectomy in the treatment of varicocele. *Urology* 2000; 55: 750-4.
62. Ficarra V, Cerruto MA, Liguori G, et al. Treatment of varicocele in subfertile men: The Cochrane Review – a contrary opinion. *Eur Urol* 2006; 49: 258-63.
63. Marmar JL, Agarwal A, Prabakaran S, et al. Reassessing the value of varicocelectomy as a treatment for male subfertility with a new meta-analysis. *Fertil Steril* 2007; 88: 639-48.
64. Fretz PC, Sandlow JL. Varicocele: current concepts in pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. *Urol Clin North Am* 2002; 29: 921-37.
65. Krause W, Müller HH, Schäfer H, Weidner W. Does treatment of varicocele improve male fertility? Results of the 'Deutsche Varikozelenstudie', a multicentre study of 14 collaborating centres. *Andrologia* 2002; 34: 164-71.
66. Grasso M, Lania C, Castelli M, Galli L, Franzoso F, Rigatti P. Low-grade left varicocele in patients over 30 years old: the effect of spermatic vein ligation on fertility. *BJU Int* 2000; 85: 305-7.
67. Benoff S, Gilbert BR. Varicocele and male infertility: part I. Preface. *Hum Reprod Update* 2001; 7: 47-54.
68. Schatte EC, Hirschberg SJ, Fallick ML, Lipschultz LI, Kim ED. Varicocelectomy improves sperm strict morphology and motility. *J Urol* 1998; 160: 1338-40.
69. Vazquez-Levin MH, Friedmann P, Goldberg SI, Medley NE, Nagler HM. Response of routine semen analysis and critical assessment of sperm morphology by Kruger classification to therapeutic varicocelectomy. *J Urol* 1997; 158: 1804-7.

70. Kibar Y, Seckin B, Erduran D. The effects of subinguinal varicocelectomy on Kruger morphology and semen parameters. *J Urol* 2002; 168: 1071-4.
71. Zini A, Buckspan M, Jamal M, Jarvi K. Effect of varicocelectomy on the abnormal retention of residual cytoplasm by human spermatozoa. *Hum Reprod* 1999; 14: 1791-3.
72. Breznik R, Vlasisavljević V, Borko E. Treatment of varicocele and male fertility. *Arch Androl* 1993; 30: 157-60.
73. Nilsson S, Edvinsson A, Nilsson B. Improvement of semen and pregnancy rate after ligation and division of the internal spermatic vein: fact or fiction? *Br J Urol* 1979; 51: 591-6.
74. Unal D, Yeni E, Verit A, Karatas OF. Clomophene citrate versus varicocelectomy in treatment of subclinical varicocele: a prospective randomized study. *Int J Urol* 2001; 8: 227-30.
75. Yamamoto M, Hibi H, Hirata Y, Miyake K, Ishigaki T. Effect of varicocelectomy on sperm parameters and pregnancy rate in patients with subclinical varicocele: a randomized prospective controlled study. *J Urol* 1996; 155: 1636-8.
76. Nieschlag E, Hertle L, Fishedick A, Abshagen K, Behre HM. Update on treatment of varicocele: counselling as effective as occlusion of the vena spermatica. *Hum Reprod* 1998; 13: 2147-50.
77. Madgar I, Weissenberg R, Lunenfeld B, Karasik A, Goldwasser B. Controlled trial of high spermatic vein ligation for varicocele in infertile men. *Fertil Steril* 1995; 63: 120-4.
78. Templeton A. Varicocele and infertility. *Lancet* 2003; 361: 1838-9.
79. Sandlow J. Pathogenesis and treatment of varicoceles. *BMJ* 2004; 328: 967-8.
80. Fretz PC, Dandlow JL. Varicocele: current concepts in pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. *Urol Clin North Am* 2002; 29: 921-37.
81. Evers JL, Collin JA, Vandekerckhove P. Surgery or embolisation for varicocele in subfertile men. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001; 1: CD0000479.
82. Schlegel PN. Is assisted reproduction the optimal treatment for varicocele-associated male infertility? A cost-effectiveness analysis. *Urology* 1997; 49: 83-90.
83. Laven JS, Haans LC, Mali WP, te Velde ER, Wensing CJ, Eimers JM. Effects of varicocele treatment in adolescents: a randomized study. *Fertil Steril* 1992; 58: 756-62.
84. Kass EJ, Stork BR, Steinert BW. Varicocele in adolescence induces left and right testicular volume loss. *BJU Int* 2001; 87: 499-501.
85. Alukal JP, Zurkowski D, Atala A, et al. Testicular hypotrophy does not correlate with grade of adolescent varicocele. *J Urol* 2005; 174: 2367-70.
86. Parrott TS, Hewatt L. Ligation of the testicular artery and vein in adolescent varicocele. *J Urol* 1994; 152: 791-3.
87. Sayfan J, Siplovich L, Koltun L, Benyamin N. Varicocele treatment in pubertal boys prevents testicular growth arrest. *J Urol* 1997; 157: 1456-7.
88. Podesta ML, Gottlieb S, Medel R Jr, Ropelato G, Bergada C, Quesada E. Hormonal parameters and testicular volume in children and adolescents with unilateral varicocele: preoperative and postoperative findings. *J Urol* 1994; 152: 794-7.
89. Diamond D. Adolescent varicocele. *Curr Opin Urol* 2007; 17: 263-7.
90. Yaman O, Soygur T, Zumrutbas AE, Resorlu B. Results of microsurgical subinguinal varicocelectomy in children and adolescents. *Urology* 2006; 68: 410-2.
91. Cayan S, Acar D, Ulger S, Akbay E. Adolescent varicocele repair: long-term results and comparison of surgical techniques according to optical magnification use in 100 cases at a single university hospital. *J Urol* 2005; 174: 2003-7.
92. Schiff J, Kelly C, Goldstein M, Schlegel P, Poppas D. Managing varicoceles in children: results with microsurgical varicocelectomy. *BJU Int* 2005; 95: 399-402.
93. Barqawi A, Furness P 3rd, Koyle M. Laparoscopic Palomo varicocelectomy in the adolescent is safe after previous ipsilateral inguinal surgery. *BJU Int* 2002; 89: 269-72.
94. Mazzoni G, Spagnoli A, Lucchetti MC, Villa M, Capitanucci ML, Ferro F. Adolescent varicocele: Tauber antegrade sclerotherapy versus Palomo repair. *J Urol* 2001; 166: 1462-4.
95. Ku JH, Kim SW, Park K, Paick JS. Benefits of microsurgical repair of adolescent varicocele: comparison of semen parameters in fertile and infertile adults with varicocele. *Urology* 2005; 65: 554-8.



varicocele repair improve male infertility? An evidence-based. perspective from a randomized, controlled trial. *Eur Urol* 2011; 59:455-461. 48. Laven JS, Haans LC, Mali WP, te Velde ER, Wensing CJ, Eimers JM. Effects of varicocele treatment in adolescents: a.Â In this review article, the effect of dietary intake of antioxidants and antioxidant supplementation on male infertility was examined. View full-text. Article. Full-text available. *Varikosel ve Oksidatif Stres*. January 2012 Â· *Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Medical Sciences*. Suleyman Sami Cakir.